# Navigating the Challenges of HL7 Data Exchange:

What They Should Send, What They Could Send, What They Do Send

#### Presentation Objectives

- Review the Washington State HL7 Experience
- Describe the Evolutionary Steps to the Current HL7 Process
- Highlight Definitions, Decisions, and Tools that Promote Success
- Publicly Thank the Washington State HL7 Team!

## Washington's HL7 Experience

- 2004 Implementation of STC's IWeb Application
- Local Health Jurisdictions Insight EHR
- IHS RPMS EHR
- Ongoing HL7 projects:
  - VFC Providers
  - Non-VFC Providers Attesting for Meaningful Use
  - CDC Interoperability Grant
- 100 Interfaces, Covering Approx. 190 sites, Receiving 25,000+ messages/day

#### **Evolutionary Steps**

- Sole focus on the "IT of it" transport, messages accepted – no testing!
- No connection to VFC recording requirements or expectations of any "requirements" – Follow CDC Standard
- Vendor/Provider expectations grew, assembled a team, internal document defined "process"
- Precertification Checklist followed
- Testing process using Development server What's the test? What is a passing grade?
- STC recommended development of a state level HL7 guide – initially declined!

#### WA State HL7 Project Tools

Precertification Checklist

All required data fields are successfully reaching the registry and populating accurately, including Facility ID and Gender, at a threshold of 95% or higher.

<u>Minimum Required Fields</u>: The set of data items for the interface must include:

#### **Demographic Section:**

- ✓ Medical Record Number/Patient ID (must be unique, *PID*-3)
- ✓ Patient Name, Last (PID-5)
- ✓ Patient Name, First (PID-5)
- ✓ Patient Date of Birth (PID-7)
- ✓ Guardian/Guarantor First Name, Last Name (*NKI Segment – only GRD, MTH, FTH, PAR or null accepted*)
- ✓ Gender (PID-8)
- ✓ Full Address (*PID-11*) street address concatenated to one line only
- ✓ Facility Name (*PD1-3.1*) and Facility ID (*PD-3.3*)
- ✓ VFC Status (PV1-20)

 WA State HL7 Project Guide





Washington State Immunization Information System

HL7 Interface Project Guide

Version 1.3 September 15, 2012

### Team + Tools = Project Status

#### Invitation to:

- Set and articulate IIS Expectations for internal and external customers
- Explain IIS definitions, testing process, threshold values to "pass"
- Exert leverage to obtain the quality interface expected
- Review, update, track versions, document new expectations – "grandfathered/mothered?"
- Negotiate minimum acceptable, "contract" for future
- Document IIS process in way under 200+ pages!

#### What Should/Could/Do They Send?

- All data elements required to record an administered VFC vaccine (Washington is a Universal State)
- All data elements your IIS has identified as required, where required means it must be included or the interface is not accepted for Production
- All necessary data elements to reach your data quality threshold – 95% for patients <19</li>

#### Lessons Learned

- Getting to Yes/Getting to No!
- Leverage Set Expectations
- Required means required avoid exceptions
- IIS expectations are reasonable, vendors/providers may be waiting for IIS to raise the bar
- Decision maker a team member plays this role ⊗

#### Washington State HL7 Team

- Yousif Hozail IT Lead
- Belinda Baker

- Kim Cunningham IT Support
- Sherry Riddick

Jodi Warren – Data Quality

- Kristina Crane
- STC HL7 Resources

#### Questions?

Margo Harris
Health Marketing Specialist
WA State Immunization Information System
206/263-8326
margo.harris@kingcounty.gov
www.waiis.wa.gov