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Background
Nationally Representative Surveys

- Current methodology for US vaccination coverage
  - Strengths
    - Consistent methodology across states
    - Nationally representative
  - Limitations
    - Response rates
    - Timeliness
  - Examples: National Immunization Survey (NIS) family, Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, National Health Interview Survey, etc.
IIS Sentinel Site Project

- **Six Sites (2013-2019):** MI, MN, ND, NYC, OR, WI
- **IIS Sentinel Site data**
  - **Strengths**
    - Provider-submitted
    - Population-based
    - Timely
    - Containing data for all pediatric ages
  - **Limitation**
    - May not be generalizable
IIS Sentinel Site Project

- IIS-Trends in Immunization Practices System (IIS-TIPS)
  - De-identified record-level data submission
  - Data use agreements
  - Data sharing committee
- Aggregated data from Sentinel Sites
Current IIS-TIPS System
IIS-TIPS business scenario is grouped into 6 distinct processes

- Acquire IIS Sentinel Site data
- Perform data evaluation
- De-duplicate vaccination records
- Validate vaccinations according to CDSi
- Generate metrics/Key Performance Indicators (KPIs)
- Enable analysis and reporting
IIS Data Use Examples
Vaccine Usage Analyses

26 CDC-led publications

IIS-TIPS data

Aggregated data
Analyses Using IIS-TIPS Data

- Two-dose varicella vaccination coverage
- Quadrivalent flu vaccine uptake
- Rotavirus vaccine uptake
- LAIV market share
- Full and partial flu vaccination coverage, and two dose compliance
- Assessment of HPV vaccination at the recommended age
- Frequency and cost of vaccinations administered outside minimum and maximum recommended ages
- Pandemic preparedness
- Retrospective reclassification of HPV vaccination coverage
- NIS-IIS simulation
- MenACWY and MenB vaccine uptake
- Compliance with live vaccine recommendations
LAIV Market Share

Market share for LAIV in press release supporting the 2016 ACIP vote

30% of 2.7 million flu vaccine doses
Trends in Compliance with Two-dose Influenza Vaccine Recommendations

Trends in full influenza vaccination coverage

Trends in two-dose compliance

Fig. 1 Influenza vaccination coverage* among sentinel site children aged 6 months through 8 years by age group and influenza season, 2010–2015

*Census data were used as denominators and Immunization Information Systems data from the six sentinel sites were used as numerators.

Fig. 2  Two-dose compliance* with influenza vaccine recommendations among sentinel site children aged 6 months to 8 years by age group and influenza season, 2010–2015

*Two-dose compliance was defined as the percentage of children during each season who received at least two doses of influenza vaccine among those who required two doses and initiated the series.
Full and Partial Flu Vaccination Coverage in Young Children, Six Immunization Information Systems Sentinel Sites, 2012-13–2016-17

**DATA SOURCE:** Immunization Information Systems (IIS) data from IIS Sentinel Sites.

Figure 1.
Impacts of Initiating HPV Vaccine at Age 9

Should the routine HPV vaccination recommendation be worded 9 through 12 years?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Series Completion Before 15</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Initiation at 9-10 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>98%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

vs
Frequency and Cost of Vaccinations Administered Outside Recommended Ages — 2014

- 0.3% (9,755) of >3.4 million doses after max age
- Costs for required revaccination of influenza vaccine
  - $111,964 for 1,344 doses

- 0.1% (9,542) of >7.5 million doses before min age
  - Most common: quadrivalent injectable influenza vaccines (3,835) and DTaP-IPV (Kinrix, 2,509)
  - Revaccination costs where recommended: $179,179

Pandemic Preparedness

National Vaccination Campaign Start (10/1)

10/4 End of Week 1

10/10 FRIDAY Report SS coverage Data to VTF

10/11 End of Week 2

10/17 FRIDAY Report SS coverage Data to VTF

10/18 End of Week 3

10/21 FRIDAY Report SS coverage Data to VTF

10/24 FRIDAY Report SS coverage Data to VTF

10/5

10/7 TUESDAY SS Submit Data For Week1 (10/1-10/4)

10/12

10/14 TUESDAY SS Submit Full Replace of Data; Week1-Week2 (10/1-10/11)

10/19

10/26

October

November
Aggregated Zoster Vaccination Data from Sentinel Sites

➢ Informing ACIP vote to preferentially recommend Shingrix
➢ Hep B multi-dose series completion was used as proxy

➢ Data as key parameters in a cost-effective model
➢ Model as critical component of ACIP deliberations on a preferential recommendation for Shingrix
Conclusion
Conclusion

- IIS Sentinel Site data have been used to
  - Complement survey data and fill critical knowledge gap
  - Inform national policy-making process
  - Prepare pandemic reporting

- IIS data are critical for federal stakeholders
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